# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NO. 06-49-KAJ

VS.

•

TAMEKA ANDREWS

DEC U 7 2006

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

# MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE

**AND NOW**, the defendant, Tameka Andrews, by and through her attorney, Louis T. Savino, Jr., Esquire, does file the within Motion for a Continuance and avers as follows:

- The defendant has retained the legal services of Louis T.
   Savino, Jr., Esquire to represent her in the above captioned criminal matter.
- A hearing in this matter is scheduled for Monday, December
   11, 2006 before the Honorable Kent A. Jordan, United States
   District Judge, United States District Court for the District of
   Delaware.
- A continuance is respectfully requested as this office is not in possession of the revised pre-sentence report and additional time is needed to review once received.

The government, through its representative, Richard G.
 Andrews, Esquire (AUSA), has no objection to the within request.

**WHEREFORE**, for all of the foregoing reasons, the within Motion for a Continuance should be **GRANTED**.

Respectfully submitted,

LOUIS T. SAVINO & ASSOCIATES

By:

LOUIS T. SAVINO, JR., ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendant

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Louis T. Savino, Jr., Esquire being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7<sup>TH</sup> day of December, 2006 a copy of the within document was served upon the following individuals:

#### Honorable Kent A. Jordan

United States District Judge

844 King Street

Lock Box 10

Wilmington, DE 19801

## Richard G. Andrews, Esquire (AUSA)

Office of the United States Attorney

Chase Manhattan Centre, Suite 1100

1007 N. Orange St., Suite 700

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2046

**LOUIS T. SAVINO & ASSOCIATES** 

By;

LOUIS T. SAVINO, JR., ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendant

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs.	; ;	NO. 06-49-KAJ
TAMEKA ANDREWS	:	
	<u> </u>	<u>E R</u>
AND NOW, this day of _		, 2006 it is hereby <b>ORDERED</b>
and <b>DECREED</b> that defendant's Mo	otion for a	Continuance is <b>GRANTED</b> .
BY THE	COURT:	
		J.